



INQUIRY & PROBLEM-SOLVING COMPETENCY

Adapted from AAC&U VALUE Rubrics

LaGuardia's General Education Assessment (Gen Ed) rubrics have been developed and modified by college faculty and academic staff to define the foundational dimensions for each learning competency and communication ability, with performance measures that represent progress in each area students are expected to demonstrate across the first two years of college. The Gen Ed rubrics support institutional use in assessing and discussing student learning and are not intended for grading student performance or teaching.

Definition

Inquiry, analysis, and problem-solving combine to support students in forming a habit of mind critical to their academic and career advancement, thoughtful participation in civic life, and sustained, life-long learning.

- **Inquiry** and analysis are systematic processes that explore issues or questions by collecting evidence and breaking complex topics or issues into parts to draw informed conclusions or judgments. Through revision, rethinking, and reorganization, most products of inquiry and analysis advance a claim, hypothesis, or solution.
- **Problem-solving** is the ability to design, evaluate, and implement a strategy or strategies to answer an open-ended question, overcome an obstacle, or achieve a desired goal.

Framing Language

This rubric is designed for use across disciplines and focuses on the relationship between the process of inquiry OR problem-solving and the conclusions or results presented. It incorporates broad language which reflects multiple approaches and assignments while addressing the fundamental elements of sound inquiry, analysis, and problem-solving (including topic selection, existing knowledge, design, and collecting resources). Inquiry typically involves the selection of a topic and adherence to discipline-specific protocols; whereas, problem-solving uses the tools of disciplines within diverse settings, from scientific and engineering laboratories to art studios, libraries, historical archives, digital simulations, as well as workplaces, communities and other real-world settings. Effective products frame the issue sufficiently, include pertinent and diverse information and evidence, demonstrate keen analysis to support coherent conclusions, and acknowledge potential limitations in the process. The following definitions seek to clarify terms and concepts as used in this rubric:

- **Knowledge:** Facts, information, and skills acquired through experience or education.
- **Evidence:** Available body of facts or information used to substantiate the truth or validity of a belief or proposition.
- **Limitations:** Critique of the process or evidence.
- **Implications:** How inquiry results apply to a larger context or the real world.
- **Hypothesis:** An appropriate response or argument to a challenge or a problem.
- **Conclusions:** A synthesis of key findings drawn from research/evidence or discipline-specific reflection.

INQUIRY & PROBLEM-SOLVING COMPETENCY

Dimension	Proficient - 4	Competent - 3	Developing - 2	Novice - 1
Frames the Issue <i>Select a topic OR define the problem.</i>	Synthesizes well-defined concepts to develop a strong research question or hypotheses, OR to define an open-ended question or problem.	Sufficiently frames or addresses a research question or hypothesis, OR defines an open-ended question or problem.	Partially frames or addresses a research question or hypothesis, OR partially defines an open-ended question or problem.	Demonstrates minimal attempt to frame or address a research question or hypothesis, OR to define an open-ended question or problem.
<i>Situate a topic or problem in its pertinent context.</i>	Demonstrates strong knowledge of context by providing most-pertinent details	Demonstrates substantial knowledge of relevant context by providing useful details.	Demonstrates some knowledge of relevant contexts with some details.	Demonstrates minimal knowledge of relevant context.
Evidence Gathering <i>Assemble pertinent information from diverse perspectives.</i>	Synthesizes, reviews, and assembles highly-pertinent information from relevant and appropriate sources with diverse points of view.	Reviews and assembles pertinent information from relevant and appropriate sources with more than one point of view.	Partially reviews and assembles information from sources showing similar points of view.	Demonstrates minimal attempt to assemble relevant information.
Analysis <i>Breaks of topic or problem into parts to support claim or solution.</i>	Synthesizes and evaluates evidence to support insightful hypothesis, claim, or solution.	Sufficiently analyzes, evaluates, and organizes evidence to support coherent hypothesis, claim, or solution.	Partially analyzes, evaluates, and organizes evidence to support hypothesis, claim, or solution.	Demonstrates minimal attempt to analyze and/or organize evidence to support hypothesis, claim, or solution.
Conclusion <i>Draws conclusions or offers solutions supported by evidence.</i>	Synthesizes multiple conclusions effectively, or evaluates outcomes and offers insightful solutions supported by evidence.	Draws coherent conclusions, or offers sufficient solutions supported by evidence.	Draws partial or underdeveloped conclusions, or offers solutions supported by some evidence.	Demonstrates minimal attempt to draw conclusions, or offers few solutions supported by evidence.
<i>Acknowledges limitations and implications.</i>	Adroitly addresses limitations and implications with suggestions for future areas of study or exploration.	Discusses limitations and implications.	Shows some understanding of limitations and implications.	Does not identify limitations.



GLOBAL LEARNING COMPETENCY

Adapted from AAC&U VALUE Rubrics

LaGuardia's General Education Assessment (Gen Ed) rubrics have been developed and modified by college faculty and academic staff to define the foundational dimensions for each learning competency and communication ability, with performance measures that represent progress in each area students are expected to demonstrate across the first two years of college. The Gen Ed rubrics support institutional use in assessing and discussing student learning and are not intended for grading student performance or teaching.

Definition

Global learning involves the critical analysis of and ethical engagement with complex global issues and their impact on both local and global communities. Global learning moves beyond describing a geographic location by addressing issues that exist beyond national boundaries, thus enabling students to:

- **Advance their knowledge** of global issues, multiple worldviews, and interconnected experiences;
- **Develop their abilities** to apply diverse perspectives in the face of multiple and even conflicting positions and contexts; and,
- **Address ethical challenges** and evaluate ways one's actions affect both local and global communities.

Framing Language

This rubric is designed for use across disciplines and focuses on providing students meaningful opportunities to analyze and explore complex global challenges, communicate respectfully in diverse environments, and apply learning to take ethical action in response to global challenges. Global learning also fosters the ability to advance equity and justice, locally and globally. The following definitions seek to clarify terms and concepts as used in this rubric:

- **Global Issues:** A challenge or problem that affects many people on a worldwide level and warrants attention and collaboration, both locally and globally. Examples include (but are not limited to) poverty, war and violence, financial crises, income inequality, youth unemployment, climate change, racism, hunger, migration, health, or education access.
- **Diversity:** Includes (but is not limited to) differences based on nationality, race, class, ethnicity, language, culture, gender, religion, disability, and sexuality. Understanding and engaging with diversity deepens the ability to recognize the origins and influences of one's own heritage as well as its limitations.
- **Global Self-Awareness:** Allows students to develop a position with an understanding of the interrelationships among the self, local and global communities, and the natural and physical world.
- **Ethical Engagement:** Includes the recognition of the "rights" and "wrongs" in the challenges a global issue poses and involves an ability to advocate, educate, and support communities.

GLOBAL LEARNING COMPETENCY

Dimension	Proficient - 4	Competent - 3	Developing - 2	Novice - 1
Understanding Global Issues and Events <i>Analyzes global issues and events, and recognizes their impact on both local and global communities</i>	<p>Discusses and analyzes the dynamics of a complex global challenge or event.</p> <p>Highlights the most relevant shaping forces and outcomes of global issues or events, including at a local level.</p> <p><i>(e.g., demonstrates knowledge of interdependent dimensions of global events and analyzes how they manifest locally and globally)</i></p>	<p>Discusses a complex global challenge or event.</p> <p>Makes connections between local and global events and their outcomes.</p> <p><i>(e.g., recognizes how interdependent influences of a global event manifest in various localities)</i></p>	<p>Identifies and describes a complex global challenge or event.</p> <p>Demonstrates emergent understanding of the way local issues and events are connected to larger global issues.</p> <p><i>(e.g., compares local and global manifestations of a global issue/event)</i></p>	<p>Identifies a phenomenon as posing a complex global challenge.</p> <p>Identifies explicitly an example of a local issue or event that occurs globally.</p> <p><i>(e.g., identifies a local manifestation of a global issue or event)</i></p>
Communicating Knowledge in Global Contexts <i>Showcases awareness of how diverse perspectives are shaped and communicated within global contexts and could impact communities locally.</i>	<p>Discusses and analyzes diverse perspectives within local and global contexts.</p> <p>Employs knowledge of diverse worldviews and cultural practices in communication.</p>	<p>Discusses and explains other perspectives on experiences of people, globally and locally.</p> <p>Demonstrates suitable knowledge of diverse worldviews and cultural practices.</p>	<p>Identifies and describes divergent perspectives on similar experiences locally and globally.</p> <p>Presents emergent knowledge of diverse worldviews and cultural practices.</p>	<p>Identifies experiences of others through one perspective.</p> <p>Presents minimal knowledge of diverse worldviews and cultural practices.</p>
Ethical Engagement and Global Self-Awareness <i>Recognizes ethical dimensions of global issues.</i> <i>Articulates global self-awareness.</i>	<p>Discusses and analyzes the ethical consequences of global phenomena and proposes ethical responses and a range of actions or solutions (individual and collective).</p> <p>Discusses and analyzes personal attitudes and actions within a global framework.</p>	<p>Discusses the ethical consequences of global issues and events.</p> <p>Discusses personal attitudes and actions within global issues or events.</p>	<p>Identifies and describes basic ethical challenges of global issues or events.</p> <p>Identifies and describes basic connections between personal attitudes and actions and global issues or events.</p>	<p>Presents minimal recognition of ethical dimensions related to global issues or events.</p> <p>Provides minimal identification of the connections between attitudes and actions and global issues or events.</p>



INTEGRATIVE LEARNING COMPETENCY

Adapted from AAC&U VALUE Rubrics

LaGuardia's General Education Assessment (Gen Ed) rubrics have been developed and modified by college faculty and academic staff to define the foundational dimensions for each learning competency and communication ability, with performance measures that represent progress in each area students are expected to demonstrate across the first two years of college. The Gen Ed rubrics support institutional use in assessing and discussing student learning and are not intended for grading student performance or teaching.

Definition

Integrative learning prompts the student to make and reflect upon connections among ideas and experiences, such as across courses, over time, or between campus and community life, with the goal of synthesizing and transferring learning to new situations within and beyond the College.

- **Connections to Disciplines:** Students identify and synthesize connections across perspectives, fields of study, or disciplines.
- **Connections to Experience:** Students identify and synthesize connections across relevant learning experiences, including personal, co-curricular, and academic.

Framing Language

This rubric is designed for use across disciplines and focuses on supporting students' ability to synthesize their experiences inside and outside the classroom, making connections between theory and practice. For the purposes of this rubric, artifacts must demonstrate a connection to disciplinary fields and/or experiences. Because integrative learning involves making connections, it can surface in reflective work, self-assessment, or assignments that foster learning between courses and life experiences, co-curricular activities, or the workplace.

The following definitions seek to clarify terms and concepts as used in this rubric:

- **Contexts:** Actual or simulated situations in which a student demonstrates learning outcomes. New and challenging contexts encourage students to stretch beyond their current frames of reference.
- **Co-curricular:** A parallel component of the academic curriculum in addition to the formal classroom, such as industry panels, community engagement, student clubs or organizations, etc.
- **Reflection:** A meta-cognitive act of examining a learning experience to explore its significance and consequences.
- **Self-Assessment:** Examining one's skills, knowledge, and/or growth to guide plans for further learning.

INTEGRATIVE LEARNING COMPETENCY

Dimension	Proficient - 4	Competent - 3	Developing - 2	Novice - 1
Connections to Disciplines <i>Identifies and synthesizes connections across perspectives, fields of study, or disciplines.</i>	Synthesizes comparisons of examples or information from multiple perspectives, disciplines, or fields of study to draw conclusions.	Compares examples or information from more than one perspective, discipline, or field of study and draws conclusions based on these comparisons.	Connects examples or information from more than one perspective, discipline, or field of study.	Provides examples or information from at least one perspective, discipline, or field of study.
And/or	And/or	And/or	And/or	And/or
Connections to Experience <i>Identifies and synthesizes connections across relevant learning experiences, including personal, co-curricular, and academic.</i>	Synthesizes comparisons among multiple learning experiences inside and outside the classroom to deepen understanding and broaden point of view.	Compares relationships between personal or co-curricular experiences and academic content to deepen understanding.	Connects examples of personal or co-curricular experiences to academic content and implies similarities or differences.	Provides connections between personal or co-curricular experiences and academic content.
Application of Learning Across Contexts <i>Applies learning across contexts to demonstrate connections among knowledge, skills, theories and/or methodologies from one experience to another.</i>	Consistently applies insightful connections among knowledge, skills, theories and/or methodologies from one experience to another to draw conclusions.	Applies pertinent connections among knowledge, skills, theories and/or methodologies from one experience to another.	Applies simple connections among knowledge, skills, theories and/or methodologies from one experience to another.	Applies limited connections among knowledge, skills, theories and/or methodologies from one experience to another.
Reflection and Self-assessment <i>Demonstrates a developing sense of self as a learner, building on prior experiences to respond to new and challenging contexts.</i>	Critically evaluates strengths and challenges; connects current sense of self to past and/or future selves across multiple contexts to draw conclusions about oneself as a learner.	Describes and assesses strengths and challenges over time and/or in different contexts to evaluate oneself as a learner.	Describes strengths and challenges within specific contexts to examine oneself as a learner.	Describes strengths and challenges in general contexts to demonstrate awareness of oneself as a learner.